



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/538,104

06/08/2005

Jens Pollmann-Retsch

DE020302

1362

24737

7590

06/11/2008

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

ALEMU, EPHREM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2821

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/538,104	Applicant(s) POLLMANN-RETSCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Ephrem Alemu	Art Unit 2821	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 26-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/11/08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Applicants' communication submitted on 02/21/2008. In virtue of this communication, claims 1-25 have been canceled, claims 31, 32 and 38 have been amended, and claims 44-55 have been added. In virtue of this communication, claims 26-55 are now pending in the instant application.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 31 and 32 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 26, 27, 29, 33, 46, 48 and 53 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims are not written in accordance with rule § 1.75(I).

§ 1.75(I) Claim(s).

(I) Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 35, line 2, "a" (1st occurrence) with --the-- for proper antecedent basis.

In claim 43, line 1, "a" (1st occurrence) should be changed to --the-- (for proper antecedent basis).

In claim 49, line 2, "a" (1st occurrence) with --the-- for proper antecedent basis.

In claim 55, line 1, "a" (2nd occurrence) should be changed to --the-- (for proper antecedent basis).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 26-30, 32, 34-36 and 38-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirao et al. (US 6,709,111) in view of Billington et al. (US 6,588,907).

Re claims 29, 34, 35, 36, 38, 43, 44, 47, 49, 52 and 55, Hirao discloses a lighting unit (i.e., projector) having a discharge lamp (i.e., lamp for backlight 7), an assembly comprising a lamp driver (6), a cooling device (i.e., fan 36), at least one device (i.e., temperature sensor 2) for detecting at least one predetermined operating parameter of the discharge lamp (i.e., lamp for backlight 7), together with a control unit (i.e., microcomputer 1), the control unit (i.e., microcomputer 1) for controlling the lamp driver (6) and/or the cooling device (i.e., fan 5) at least during switching on of the lighting unit in such a way that there is no excursion from a predetermined range of the at least one operating parameter; wherein the lamp driver (6) and/or the cooling device (i.e., fan 5) being controllable by the control unit (i.e., microcontroller 1) as a function of the output signal of the at least one detected predetermined operating parameter of the discharge lamp (Figs. 1-4; Col. 2, line 12- Col. 3, line 35; Col. 4, lines 1- Col. 5, line 58); and the control unit effectuating operations comprising: at least one intermediate value (V1) for the cooling or the power to the lamp or both, which intermediate value is between full on; at least one timing (Tc, T0) relative to the actuation indication and associated with the intermediate value (V1); and parameters for turning the cooling and power to the lamp full on, in accordance with whether the lamp is to be switched on (Figs. 1-4; Col. 2, line 12- Col. 3, line 35; Col. 4, lines 1- Col. 5, line 58).

Hirao control unit does not provide control signals to coordinate cooling and power to the lamp responsive to the actuation indication for switching off of the lamp.

In the same field of endeavor, Billington teaches of providing control signals to coordinate cooling and power to the lamp responsive to the actuation indication for switching off of the lamp for the purpose of increasing reliability of the projector and prolonging bulb life (Col. 4, lines 26-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the control circuit of Hirao by providing control signals to coordinate cooling and power to the lamp responsive to the actuation indication for switching off of the lamp as taught by Billington for no other reason than increasing reliability of the projector and prolonging bulb life as taught by Billington.

Re claims 30, 32 and 45, given Hirao's modified by Billington's lighting unit (i.e., projector), providing a switching schedule comprising predetermined stepwise adjustments (alternately adjusting) to control parameters of both the lamp driver and the cooling device in accordance with the lamp to be switched on or off would have been obvious because Hirao further discloses the controller (i.e., microcomputer 1) includes a memory (storage device 1a) for controlling both the lamp driver and the cooling device for maintaining a desired temperature responsive to the actuation indication for switching on of the lamp (Figs. 1-6; Col. 4, line 1- Col. 5, line 49); and Billington teaches of providing control signals to coordinate cooling and power to the lamp responsive to the actuation indication for switching off of the lamp for the purpose of increasing reliability of the projector and prolonging bulb life (Col. 4, lines 26-59).

Re claims 26, 27 and 28, given Hirao's modified by Billington's lighting unit (i.e., projector) as discussed above in claims 29, 30 and 32, the method for preventing mechanical stress to a discharge vessel as claimed in claims 26, 27 and 28 is inevitable.

4. Claims 33, 39-42, 48 and 53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirao et al. (US 6,709,111) in view of Billington et al. (US 6,588,907) as applied to claims 29, 38 and 52, above, and further in view of Nakamura (US 2002/0118160).

Re claims 33, 39, 40, 41, 48, 53 and 54, Hirao's or Billington's does not mention about having a second sensor for detecting a parameter of the cooling device (i.e., fan) in addition to the at least one parameter of the lamp (i.e., temperature).

Nakamura teaches of providing a second sensor (i.e., air pressure sensor 3) for the purpose of controlling the power supplied to the cooling device (i.e., fan 6) (Fig. 1; paragraphs [0009], [0010], [0018], [0019]).

Therefore, providing a sensor for detecting at least one other parameter of the cooling device as taught by Nakamura. in addition to the at least one parameter of the lamp for Hirao's modified by Billington's lighting unit (i.e., projector) would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art for no other reason than controlling the temperature condition within the lamp unit based on the at least one operating condition of the cooling device (i.e., fan) and the at least one parameter of the lamp. Further, the operating parameter of the cooling device being velocity, pressure and/or volume of a gas stream directed onto the lamp would have been an obvious design choice for no other reason than increasing reliability of the projector and prolonging lamp life.

Re claim 42, although, Hirao's or Billington's does not specifically mention the sensor for measuring the temperature being arranged on the discharge vessel for detecting the temperature of the wall of the discharge, arranging the temperature on the discharge vessel of the discharge lamp for detecting the temperature of the wall of a discharge vessel would have been an obvious design choice for no other reason than keeping the temperature of the lamp surface at a predetermined level.

5. Claims 31, 37, 46, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirao et al. (US 6,709,111) in view of Billington et al. (US 6,588,907) as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Parker (US 4,283,658).

Re claims 31, 37, 46, 50 and 51, Hirao's or Billington's does not disclose the control unit detects the lamp current and/or the lamp voltage and/or the lamp power via the trigger circuit, which is connected with the second input of the control unit.

In the same field of endeavor, Parker teaches of providing a sensor for sensing at least one electrical parameter (i.e., current, voltage or power) of the lamp for controlling a lamp driver (i.e., power supply 12 and/or a cooling device (i.e., fan 29) for the purpose of maintaining the operating point of a discharge lamp (Fig. 1; abstract; Col. 5, lines 3-27; Col. 6, lines 52-58).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the controller circuit of Hirao's modified by Billington's lighting unit (i.e., projector) by providing a sensor for sensing at least one electrical parameter (i.e., current, voltage or power) of the lamp for the purpose of controlling the lamp at a desired temperature range.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Miyamoto et al. (US 6,443,575) is cited to show a temperature sensor provided on the lamp discharge vessel (Fig. 8); and Pruett et al. (US 6,472,828) is cited for showing the power to the cooling device (fan) being increased incremental time step or linearly over a period of time.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W Owens can be reached on (571) 272-1662662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thuy Vinh Tran/
for Alemu Ephrem, Examiner of Art Unit 2821
06/09/2008